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ABSTRACT An assembly of two receptor ligand bonds in series will typically break at the weaker complex upon application of an external force. The rupture site depends highly on the binding potentials of both bonds and on the loading rate of the applied force. A model is presented that allows simulations of force-induced rupture of bonds in series at a given force and loading rate based on the natural dissociation rates $k_{R0, S0}$ and the potential width $\Delta x_{RLS}$ of the reference and sample bonds. The model is especially useful for the analysis of differential force assay experiments. This is illustrated by experiments on molecular force balances consisting of two 30-bp oligonucleotide duplexes where $k_{R0, S0}$ and $\Delta x_{RLS}$ have been determined for different single nucleotide mismatches. Furthermore, prediction of the rupture site of two bonds in series is demonstrated for DNA duplexes in combination with streptavidin/biotin and anti-digoxigenin/digoxigenin, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Being a pivotal parameter in classical physics for centuries, force was not accessible on a molecular level in the biological sciences for a long time. Just recently single molecule experiments by means of force probe instruments such as atomic force microscope (AFM) (1–5), optical trap (6,7) magnetic tweezers (8,9), and biomolecular force probe (10,11) made it possible to investigate the mechanical properties of biological macromolecules (12,13). Receptor ligand bonds, which are commonly characterized by affinity measurements, have also been subject to single molecule force measurements. While affinities solely reflect the binding energy corresponding to the depth of the binding potential and therefore to the natural dissociation rate $k_0$, force measurements furthermore reveal an additional parameter, which is dependent on the unbinding pathway, the potential width $\Delta x$ (14–16).

To derive $\Delta x$, a variety of force transducers like cantilevers (16–18), optical tweezers (19,20), and even biological membranes (11,15) have been employed to record force extension curves of receptor ligand bonds. Recently a fundamentally different approach to probe molecular forces has been introduced by our group (Fig. 1) (21–25). Here the microscopic force transducer is substituted by a single molecular bond, which is linked to the sample bond in series, thereby forming a molecular force balance. Upon application of a force at the ends of the balance, both bonds are probed simultaneously until the weaker one fails (21,22).

For highly symmetric combinations of sample (S) and reference (R) bonds, excellent sensitivity in terms of force differences is achieved, as demonstrated by detection of single nucleotide mismatches in DNA duplexes (21,22). However, due to the lack of a theoretical description, only ratios of discrimination have been assessed instead of quantitative differences in the natural dissociation rate of the reference $k_{R0}$ and the sample $k_{S0}$ bond as well as the potential width $\Delta x_R$ and $\Delta x_S$.

Here we present a new theoretical approach for the analysis of force-induced unbinding of two bonds in series. By means of a reference bond where the binding potential is well characterized (known $k_{R0}$ and $\Delta x_R$), we are able to calculate the dissociation rate $k_{S0}$ and potential width $\Delta x_S$ for an arbitrary sample bond based on the well-established Bell-Evans model for a given force and loading rate (14,26–28).

We apply this new analysis to experiments where small mutations have been introduced into a 30-bp oligonucleotide duplex. The results are compared to previous measurements on DNA oligonucleotides (17) and single chain antibodies performed by other groups (18). In general, we corroborate the finding of those earlier studies, namely that differences in $k_{R0}$ of a receptor ligand bond are closely coupled to the potential width $\Delta x_R$ (17,18).

Moreover, the theoretical model allows for predictions on alternative unbinding of any biological system where two bonds are probed in series. The model is particularly useful for simulations of force experiments (AFM, biomolecular force probe, and magnetic- and optical tweezers) where the sample is immobilized by affinity tags (7,20,29–34). Our approach allows one to predict whether a certain immobilization tag will survive the forces applied during the experiment or not.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The principle of the molecular force balance

The technology of the molecular force balance has been described elsewhere in detail (22). Briefly, amino-labeled oligonucleotides are immobilized on an activated slide at their 3'-termini. Cy3 labeled oligonucleotides are now hybridized to the receptors, thereby forming a sample duplex. Subsequently biotin labeled oligonucleotides are hybridized to the sample, thereby forming a reference duplex. This results in a molecular balance as it is...
The survival probability indicates whether the reference or the sample bond was ruptured. The bond survival probability $\Phi_R$ depends on the rates of the reference bond $k_R$ and the sample bond $k_S$.

The structure of the molecular balances is shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Four molecular force balances are depicted each comprising an amino-oligo (top), a Cy3-oligo (middle), and a biotin-oligo (bottom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dir.</th>
<th>Duplex</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#66</td>
<td>NH2–20t–CGTCAGAGGAATTTGCTTAAAGGCTTATTCGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#74</td>
<td>GACGTCTTTGATATAGGGGAGCTTATAGGCAATGGCAATCGACACGTTATTAATGCTAC–17t–cATCCGATACGCGTCTTATTACCCGTGCTGCAAGTTTTTCy3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#62</td>
<td>GACGTCTTTGATATAGGGGAGCTTATAGGCAATGGCAATCGACACGTTATTAATGCTAC–17t–cATCCGATACGCGTCTTATTACCCGTGCTGCAAGTTTTTCy3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30GG</td>
<td>#65</td>
<td>NH2–20t–CCTGAGAGGATTTGCTTAAAGGCTTATTCGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#62</td>
<td>GACGTCTTTGATATAGGGGAGCTTATAGGCAATGGCAATCGACACGTTATTAATGCTAC–17t–cATCCGATACGCGTCTTATTACCCGTGCTGCAAGTTTTTCy3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30CC</td>
<td>#66</td>
<td>NH2–20t–CCTGAGAGGATTTGCTTAAAGGCTTATTCGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#62</td>
<td>GACGTCTTTGATATAGGGGAGCTTATAGGCAATGGCAATCGACACGTTATTAATGCTAC–17t–cATCCGATACGCGTCTTATTACCCGTGCTGCAAGTTTTTCy3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>29CC</td>
<td>#66</td>
<td>NH2–20t–CCTGAGAGGATTTGCTTAAAGGCTTATTCGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'-3'</td>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>#62</td>
<td>GACGTCTTTGATATAGGGGAGCTTATAGGCAATGGCAATCGACACGTTATTAATGCTAC–17t–cATCCGATACGCGTCTTATTACCCGTGCTGCAAGTTTTTCy3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amino- and biotin-oligos are identical in all balances. Mutations are only introduced by single nucleotide exchanges (30GG, 30CC, 29CC) and a single nucleotide deletion (29CC) in the Cy3-oligonucleotides (underlined bases).

### Surfaces and instrumentation

The silicone stamp had 16 protruding contact areas which themselves were microstructured by 100 × 100 μm squares elevated by 5 μm. It was functionalized by treatment with epoxy-silane, subsequently coated with bifunctional amino-biotin-PEG and finally covered with streptavidin. The amino-oligonucleotides were labeled at the 3'-termini and attached to aldehyde-functionalized glass slides. After the hybridization of the other two oligonucleotides, stamp and slide were brought in contact by a piezoelectric element. The piezo allowed for precisely defined pulling velocities during surface separation (see (22) for details).

### Analysis of fluorescence images

The image analysis procedure is shown in Fig. 2. The light-shaded DNA spot (Fig. 2A) on a slide is depicted, where the fluorescence intensity of the Cy3-labeled oligo was measured. The area of contact between slide and stamp is equivalent to the darker squares, where the balances were probed and Cy3-labeled oligonucleotides were removed from the slide. The intensity of the darker squares corresponds to the remaining signal Cy3REM. The light grid area is equivalent to the start intensity Cy3START, where no contact was established between stamp and slide. The solid light-shaded line in Fig. 2A indicates a region of interest (ROI-1) corresponding to the Cy3REM signal. Another two dashed black lines are enclosing the area of ROI-2, which corresponds to Cy3START. The fluorescence intensity of the dark-shaded spot in Fig. 2B represents bistin residues that have not coupled to the stamp, and which have been labeled by soluble AlexaFluor647-streptavidin after the Cy3-scan was performed. Accordingly, the AlexaFluor647 intensity of the dark squares reflects the coupling efficiency, as discussed in Albrecht et al. (22). Here (ROI-3) and (ROI-4) are enclosing the remaining bistin density AF647REM and the starting bistin density AF647START.

For each of the 25 contact areas the mean intensities Cy3REM, Cy3START, AF647REM, and AF647START have been determined. The degree of coupling was obtained by AF647REM/AF647START. To correct the Cy3-signal for the coupling efficiency, an offset of Cy3 was calculated from

$$
Cy3_{\text{offset}} = Cy3_{\text{REM}} \times \frac{AF647_{\text{REM}}}{AF647_{\text{START}}} \tag{1}
$$

Finally, the survival probability of the sample duplex $\Phi_S$ was calculated from Cy3REM, corrected for the coupling efficiency, and normalized to Cy3START, according to Eq. 2:
FIGURE 2  Fluorescent images are shown for the slide after separation of the stamp. (A) Image of the Cy3 fluorescent signal of a spot after separation. (B) The same spot after binding of fluorescent-streptavidin to the free biotin. Dark squares correspond to the contact area where the balances have ruptured. Cy3START intensity and AF647START intensity have been derived from ROI-1 and ROI-3, respectively. Cy3REM intensity and AF647REM intensity have been derived from ROI-3 and ROI-4 and correspond to the grid around one contact area.

The Gibb’s free energy difference $\Delta G_{R,S}$ for the DNA perfect match and mismatch duplexes was calculated by the computer program HyTher (Wayne State University, Detroit, MI; http://ozone3.chem.wayne.edu/cgi-bin/login/login/showLoginPage.cgi), which is based on the nearest-neighbor algorithm refined for mismatches by SantaLucia (36,37), for 150 mM Na+, 25°C, and 100 nM of each oligonucleotide. The dissociation rate $k_{R0,S0}$ of the duplexes were then calculated from the equilibrium constant of dissociation

$$K_0 = \frac{k_{R0,S0}}{k_{S0}} = e^\frac{\Delta \mu_{R,S}}{k_B T},$$  

and with $k_{\text{on}} = 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, based on the assumption that $k_{\text{on}}$ is diffusion-limited and identical for all DNA duplexes (38).

### Determination of loading rate and rupture force

The loading rate $r$ and the corresponding rupture force $f$ of the balance were estimated from the applied velocity $v = 5 \text{ nm/s}$, the polymer spacer length $L_0 = 30 \text{ nm}$, dissociation rate $k_{R0} = 2.81 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and potential width $\Delta x_R = 2.8 \text{ nm}$ of the reference duplex, according to the model from Friedsam et al. (28).

### Simulations

Each survival probability for the reference $\Phi_R$ and the sample duplex $\Phi_S$ was simulated by increasing the force $f$ and keeping the loading rate $r_{0,1,2,3,4}$ fixed at the same time. Simulations and data fits were performed with Mathematica (Wolfram Research, Champaign, IL) and IGOR (WaveMetrics, Portland, OR).

### RESULTS

#### Theoretical model

Despite the fact that a differential force assay is carried out on a large ensemble of molecular balances at the same time, each of the balances is probed individually without cooperative effects from neighboring molecules. Therefore, a single molecule approach is adequate for the theoretical description of the assay.

According to the experiments presented later, where the molecular balance comprises a constant reference duplex and a variable sample duplex, the goal of the simulation is to derive the survival probability $\Phi_S$ of the sample from the potential width $\Delta x_S$ and the dissociation rate $k_{R0}$ in a combination with a certain reference bond with $\Delta x_R$ and $k_{R0}$.

To calculate $\Phi_S$, the molecular force balance is modeled based on reaction rates, as shown in Fig. 1, where $\Phi_R$ and $\Phi_S$ indicate survival probabilities for the reference and sample duplex, respectively. According to Fig. 1, the time-dependent rupture probabilities can be expressed as a system of coupled ordinary differential equations, depending only on the dissociation rates $k_R$ and $k_S$:

$$\frac{d\Phi_R(t)}{dt} = -(+k_R-k_S) \times \Phi_R(t),$$  

$$\frac{d\Phi_S(t)}{dt} = k_R \times \Phi_S(t),$$  

$$\frac{d\Phi_S(t)}{dt} = k_S \times \Phi_S(t).$$

---

### Table 2  Summary of the input parameters for the simulations and the resulting potential width $\Delta x_{R,S}$ values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duplex</th>
<th>$\Phi_S$</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{R,S} [k_BT]$</th>
<th>$k_{R0,S0} [\text{s}^{-1}]$</th>
<th>$\Delta x_{R,S} [\text{nm}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$-45.02$</td>
<td>$2.82 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$2.800$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30PM</td>
<td>$0.406 \pm 0.016$</td>
<td>$-45.02$</td>
<td>$2.82 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$2.834 \pm 0.006$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30CC</td>
<td>$0.271 \pm 0.010$</td>
<td>$-41.88$</td>
<td>$6.49 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$2.612 \pm 0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30GG</td>
<td>$0.199 \pm 0.010$</td>
<td>$-37.24$</td>
<td>$6.76 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$2.228 \pm 0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29CC</td>
<td>$0.179 \pm 0.009$</td>
<td>$-35.39$</td>
<td>$4.26 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$2.072 \pm 0.005$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta G_{R,S}$ is the Gibbs free energy difference, $k_{R0,S0}$ is the natural dissociation rate, and $\Phi_S$ is the measured survival probability.
To solve Eqs. 4A–4C, the probabilities of \( \varphi_B (t = 0) = 1, \varphi_R (t = 0) = 0, \) and \( \varphi_S (t = 0) = 0 \) are used as boundary conditions at \( t = 0 \) s when the bonds are just about to rupture. The association reaction is neglected based on the assumption that forced unbinding happens much faster than the association reaction. In contrast to the force balance experiment where intact bonds are detected by means of the fluorophore, the solution of the differential equations equals probabilities \( \langle \varphi_R (t) \rangle \) and \( \langle \varphi_S (t) \rangle \) corresponding to ruptured bonds. Therefore, the survival probabilities \( \Phi_R (t) \) and \( \Phi_S (t) \) of the intact bonds are calculated from

\[
\Phi_R (t) = 1 - \varphi_R (t), \tag{5A}
\]

\[
\Phi_S (t) = 1 - \varphi_S (t), \tag{5B}
\]

and plotted as a function of time in Fig. 3 A. Please note that all probability diagrams represent experiments on very large ensembles \( (n \sim 10^9) \) of molecular balances and not single molecule data.

To convert \( k_R \) and \( k_S \) into force-dependent rates, the Bell-Evans model for force-induced unbinding was applied to obtain

\[
k_R (f) = k_{R0} (f = 0) \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}, \tag{6A}
\]

\[
k_S (f) = k_{S0} (f = 0) \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}, \tag{6B}
\]

where \( \Delta \chi_{R, S} \) is the distance between the bound and the transition state in a triangular binding potential and \( f \) is the external applied force. The constants \( k_{R0} \) and \( k_{S0} \) correspond to the natural dissociation rates in equilibrium, which were calculated from the free energy equilibrium constants \( K_D \), as discussed above. The applied force tilts the binding potential and thus lowers the transition state energy by \( f \times \Delta \chi_{R, S} \), causing the reference and sample bond to dissociate faster. The rate constants in Eq. 1 are therefore substituted by the force-dependent rates \( k_R = k_R (f) \) and \( k_S = k_S (f) \). An example for survival probability functions \( \Phi_R (t, f) \) and \( \Phi_S (t, f) \) for different external applied forces was plotted in Fig. 3 A over the logarithm of \( t \). The timescale was normalized with respect to the time of dissociation of the reference bond at zero force \( t_{R0} = k_{R0}^{-1} \). Since the experimentally accessible variables are the separation velocity \( v \) and force \( f \), the loading rate \( r \) and the time were substituted by \( r = df/dt \), as shown in Fig. 3 B. With this substitution, the final form of the differential equations is given in Eqs. 7A–7C and the solutions are plotted in Fig. 3 B:

\[
\frac{d \varphi_B (f, r)}{df} = \left( -\frac{k_{R0} \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}}{r} - \frac{k_{S0} \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}}{r} \right) \times \varphi_B (f, r), \tag{7A}
\]

\[
\frac{d \varphi_R (f, r)}{df} = \frac{k_{R0} \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}}{r} \times \varphi_B (f, r), \tag{7B}
\]

\[
\frac{d \varphi_S (f, r)}{df} = \frac{k_{S0} \times e^{\Delta \chi_{R, S}/k_B T}}{r} \times \varphi_B (f, r), \tag{7C}
\]

Here, the survival probability of the sample \( \varphi_S \) and the reference bond \( \varphi_R \) were plotted as a function of normalized force \( f/\Delta \chi_{R, S} \), with respect to the reference bond, where \( f_R = k_R T/\Delta \chi_{R, S} \) is the characteristic force (10).

The experimentally acquired survival probability of the sample duplex \( \varphi_S \) corresponds to the point where the dotted red line converges toward the solid red line in the following diagrams. In Fig. 3 B and the following diagrams, this point is highlighted by a black circle.
Simulations

In Fig. 4, A–D, the binding potentials and survival probabilities for different types of molecular balances are depicted in dependence of loading rates and rupture forces. Depending on the grade of asymmetry, the external force will bend the binding potential of the reference bond (blue lines) and the sample bond (red lines) to a different degree according to Eqs. 7A–7C. In particular, the reference bond is characterized by a Gibbs free energy difference of \(-45.02 \, k_B T\) and a potential width of 2.8 nm. With these two values, the binding potential and the potential width in Fig. 4 were normalized. Furthermore, the natural dissociation rate was calculated to \(k_{R0} = 2.82 \times 10^{-14} \, s^{-1}\), using Eq. 3. The potential width of the reference bond \(\Delta x_{R} \) sets the characteristic force for the reference bond according to \(f_R = 4.14 \, pN/nm/2.8 \, nm = 1.48 \, pN\).

In Fig. 4, A–D, left, the binding potential was tilted by \(f \times \Delta x_{R} \). Here we applied five different forces of multiples of \(f_0: f_0 = 0 \, pN, f_1 = 10 \times f_0, f_2 = 20 \times f_0, f_3 = 30 \times f_0, \) and \(f_4 = 40 \times f_0\). The simulations for the survival probability \(\Phi_S\) as a function of the normalized force \(f_{ffR}\) were then performed at five different loading rates of \(r_0 = 0 \, pN/s, r_1 = 4 \times 10^{-9} \, pN/s, r_2 = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \, pN/s, r_3 = 4 \, pN/s, \) and \(r_4 = 1 \times 10^{5} \, pN/s\) (Fig. 4, A–D, right).

Perfectly symmetric balances

A balance where the reference and the sample bond are equal in terms of \(\Delta x_{R,S} \) and \(k_{R0,S0}\) could be considered as perfectly symmetric. In Fig. 4 A two diagrams are shown for a perfectly symmetric molecular balance, where the binding potential for the reference bond and the sample bond is identical along the pulling direction (\(k_{R0} = k_{S0} = 2.82 \times 10^{-14} \, s^{-1}\) and \(\Delta x_{R} = \Delta x_{S} = 2.8 \, nm\)). For simplicity, a triangular potential was assumed based on the Bell-Evans model. On the left, the normalized binding potential \(\Delta E/\Delta G_R\) is depicted for different normalized unbinding forces \(ffR\). The potentials of the reference bond (hidden blue line) and the sample bond (red line) are deformed to the same degree (left) and no shift in the survival probability \(\Phi_S\) is observed in the probability diagram (right).

Assymetry in \(k_{R0,S0}\)

In this case, a difference in \(k_{R0,S0}\) was introduced into the sample bond by lowering the transition state by 1 \(k_B T\) (\(k_{S0} = 8.46 \times 10^{-14} \, s^{-1}\)) while the reference bond was not changed at all (\(k_{R0} < k_{S0}\)), but leaving the potential width equal (\(\Delta x_{R} = \Delta x_{S}\)). As shown for the potentials in Fig. 4 B (left), the red and the blue lines are shifted to each other, indicating the asymmetry between the two bonds (right). As for the symmetric case in Fig. 4 A, the system is not affected in terms of the rupture probabilities by a change in loading rate, since both binding potentials are tilted by the same energy \(f \times \Delta x_{R} = f \times \Delta x_{S}\).

Assymetry in \(\Delta x_{R,S}\)

Here the potential width of the reference bond was chosen to be wider than the sample bond (\(\Delta x_{R} > \Delta x_{S}, \Delta x = 2.66 \, nm\)) while the potential depths were kept equal (\(k_{R0} = k_{S0}\)). As demonstrated in Fig. 4 C (right), the asymmetry in \(\Delta x_{R,S}\) results in a pronounced dependence of the unbinding process on the force rate. Since the sample bond has a smaller \(\Delta x_{S}\), larger rupture probabilities than for the reference bond are observed. As a consequence, the survival probability of the sample bond \(\Phi_S\) (red line, right) converges toward 1 for high loading rates.

Combined asymmetry

Finally in Fig. 4 D an example for the combination of asymmetries in potential widths (\(\Delta x = 2.66 \, nm, \Delta x_R > \Delta x_S\)) and depths (\(k_{S0} = 8.46 \times 10^{-14} \, s^{-1}, k_{R0} < k_{S0}\)) is presented. As evidenced in the probability diagram, the sample bond is weaker than the reference bond at zero force (\(f = 0\)) and for small loading rates. However, at higher loading rates the disadvantage due to the larger \(k_{S0}\) is successively compensated by the advantage of a shorter \(\Delta x_S\). After the red line in the right graph has crossed the 0.5 line (between rupture forces \(f_2\) and \(f_3\)) again, an asymptotic increase toward 1 is observed.

Experiments

The theoretical concept presented above was corroborated with experiments on short oligonucleotide duplexes as previously published (22) with the goal to derive \(\Delta x_{S}\) values for several mutations in the sample duplex. With the data available in the literature (17), the assumption of triangular potentials for the reference and the sample complex are made as shown in Fig. 4, A–D.

For the simulation, the following input parameters are required:

1. The survival probabilities of the sample bond \(\Phi_S\), which are calculated from the fluorescence intensities as described in Materials and Methods and listed in Table 2.
2. The velocity \(v\) by which the molecules are probed is assumed to be identical to the pulling velocity.
3. The loading rate and the corresponding rupture force were simulated from \(k_{R0} = \Delta x_{R}\) and the velocity \(v\) as described in Materials and Methods. Based on the pulling velocity of \(v = 5 \, nm/s\), the loading rate equals 37 \, pN/s at a rupture force of 48 \, pN.
4. Natural dissociation rates \(k_{R0,S0}\) at zero force for the reference duplex and the sample duplex were calculated from \(\Delta G_{R,S}\) values as described in Materials and Methods.
5. The potential width of the reference bond \(\Delta x_{R}\) was derived from Strunz et al. (17), which is 2.8 \, nm.
For the simulation, the above-mentioned variables were kept constant and only $\Delta x_S$ was varied until the minima of the curves matched the measured $\Phi_S$ values (compare to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 A). Then the corresponding potential width $\Delta x_S$ was extracted.

The probability diagrams for all sample duplexes are plotted in Fig. 5 A, showing experimental data (light shaded bars) for the perfect match as well as the 30GG, 30CC, and the 29CC mismatches. To get an impression about the correlation between the rupture probability and the dissociation rate $k_{S0}$, the measured survival probability of the sample bond $\Phi_S$ was plotted in Fig. 5 A over the normalized natural dissociation rate $k_{S0}/k_{R0}$. To calculate survival probabilities of the sample bond (shown as solid line), only the potential width of the sample bond was varied. The resulting normalized potential width $\Delta x_S/\Delta x_R$ is plotted in Fig. 5 B.
as a function of the normalized natural dissociation rate of the sample complex $k_{S0}/k_{R0}$.

Table 2 summarizes the input parameters for all sample duplexes and the reference duplex. The $\Delta x_{R,S}$ values are also listed, calculated by using the differential equation Eqs. 7A–7C (with exception of $\Delta x_{R,S}$ for the 30-bp perfect match, which was taken from the data provided in (17)).

Besides the analysis of force-balance measurements, our simulations are useful for designing force experiments where the sample is bound to a surface by a receptor ligand immobilization tag. In Fig. 6, two simulations of AFM experiments are depicted, where streptavidin/biotin (Fig. 6 A) and anti–digoxigenin/digoxigenin (Fig. 6 B), respectively, are used to immobilize a 15-bp DNA duplex. Again, survival probabilities for the DNA (blue) and for the immobilization tag (red) are plotted as function of the normalized force for different loading rates. Here, the reference duplex is a 15-bp DNA duplex with a natural dissociation rate of $k_{R0} = 3.16 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, a potential width of $\Delta x_R = 1.75$ nm, and a characteristic force $f_R = 2.36$ pN. For a loading rate of 37 pN/s, the survival probability of the immobilization tag (red) is highlighted by a black circle. It is evident from Fig. 6 A, which the streptavidin survives over the whole force range with a likelihood of $>99\%$. In contrast, the antibody bond is not sufficiently strong for the experiment and would fail with a probability of $\sim 90\%$.

**DISCUSSION**

We can proceed from the assumption that for a molecular force balance, which is perfectly symmetric in terms of $k_{R0,S0}$ and $\Delta x_{R,S}$, the relative rupture probability is independent of any changes in pulling velocity and loading rate (Fig. 4 A). However, even small variations of the sample duplex binding potential breaks the symmetry and gives rise to significant differences in survival probability as illustrated by Fig. 4, B and C. Compared to the fully symmetric balance in...
4 A, where both the reference and the sample bond survival probabilities converge toward 0.5, a huge shift is observed when $\Delta G_S$ and $\Delta x_S$ of the sample bond are changed just between 2 and 5% compared to the reference bond. Interestingly, for changes in $k_{\text{SO}}$, $\Phi_S$ stays constant over the entire loading range, while for changes in $\Delta x_S$, $\Phi_S$ varies with an increase of the applied loading rate.

As shown by Strunz et al. (17), deletions of peripheral basepairs from a 30-bp DNA duplex give rise to decreasing $k_{\text{SO}}$ and increasing $\Delta x_S$. Since the deletion affects both variables in the opposite direction, it is evident that a substantial part of the lowering in binding energy is compensated by a decrease in $\Delta x_S$ when we consider the resulting survival probabilities. This is illustrated by Fig. 4 D where a case with differences in $k_{R0,S0}$ and $\Delta x_{R,S}$ was simulated. It is evident from the red line that the drop in the survival probability of the sample complex $\Phi_S$ becomes smaller for increasing loading rates, since the difference in $\Delta x_{R,S}$ causes an asymptotic rise, which compensates the differences between $k_{R0}$ and $k_{S0}$.

In contrast to DNA duplexes, no such correlation was generally expected for an antibody antigen system like that investigated by Schwesinger et al. (18). There the effect of single amino-acid changes in the binding pocket of anti-hapten single-chain fragment antibodies was analyzed by AFM force spectroscopy. Since the mutations should not have altered the geometry of the binding pocket, $\Delta x_{R,S}$ was assumed to be constant despite changes in the binding energy. Surprisingly, the antibody system shows a qualitatively similar behavior as the DNA duplexes studied by Strunz. Again, a linear correlation between the logarithm of the natural dissociation rate $k_{\text{SO}}$ and the potential width $\Delta x_S$ was found as shown in Fig. 5 A.

For the experiments presented here, we have introduced internal basepair mismatches to the DNA duplex, because such mutations have about the half effect on $\Delta x_S$ compared to a 10-bp deletion (17), but without affecting the contour length of the duplex. Therefore, we expected the effect of the mutations on $\Delta x_S$ to be rather small compared to the 10-bp deletions.

To facilitate a quantitative comparison of our data to that of Strunz et al. (17), we calculated $\Delta G_{S,R,S}$ values based on the nearest-neighbor algorithm as explained above for both experiments. In Fig. 5 B, the normalized potential width $\Delta x_S/\Delta x_R$ over normalized dissociation rate $k_{\text{SO}}/k_{R0}$ is plotted, and in accordance to the procedure from Schwesinger et al. (18), we obtained a slope for the Strunz data of 0.53 (17) close to the value measured by Schwesinger for the single-chain fragment antibodies of 0.3. In contrast to that, our point mutations resulted in an even higher slope of 0.8. In other words, a single-point mutation like the CC homoduplex accounts for a difference in $\Delta G_S$ comparable to an 8-bp deletion (7.8 $k_BT$) but equals a shift in $\Delta x_S$ comparable to a 10-bp deletion according to the equation from Strunz. Hence, the compensation of $\Delta G_S$ ($k_{\text{SO}}$) by $\Delta x_S$ in terms of the resulting survival probability $\Phi_S$ is more pronounced for internal mismatches than for peripheral deletions in DNA. This may be an explanation for the fact that discrimination of mismatches has not been reported for the AFM so far, in contrast to energetically comparable peripheral deletions (17).

As seen in Fig. 5, the experimental data are reproduced very well using the approximation of triangular potentials for the reference and the sample complex (17). Furthermore, this framework of comparing two bonds in series is also extendible to other potential shapes. If the reference potential is known in better detail, a wide range of loading rates can be measured, because only the differences between the potentials of the reference and the sample complexes are measured. And even if these differences are small, this method has the potential to resolve them.

There are some potential challenges in applying this technique directly to molecular interactions when the spontaneous dissociation rates become comparable to the timescale of the experiment. If the sample and the reference complex are too weak, they start to dissociate even during assembly of the assay. The consequence will be a change of concentrations of reference and sample complex during the experiment without applying a force. But if one uses the experimental setup above to measure the interactions of molecules that bind to just the sample DNA, in principle it is also possible to measure weaker interactions. These interactions act only on the sample complex in the time frame of the contact of the stamp with the slide and not during the assembly of the assay.

In addition to analyzing force-balance experiments, the theoretical model also was applied to prove the suitability of immobilization tags for force experiments, as illustrated in Fig. 6. The fact that streptavidin/biotin (Fig. 6 A) is very well suited for immobilization of samples under force is again corroborated by the simulation for forces at least up to 100 pN. In contrast to that, it is critical to rely on a single digoxigenin/antibody bond, which fails for low loading rates in comparison to a 15-mer DNA (Fig. 6 B).

Furthermore, it is evident that DNA duplexes may be used as reference bonds to determine the $k_{\text{SO}}$ and $\Delta x_S$ values of protein receptors like the anti-digoxigenin antibody. However, for the streptavidin/biotin bond it would be hard to find a matching DNA duplex, since the rupture force of 15 bp is much too low and even much longer DNA double strands will not exceed the 65 pN barrier of the BS-transition (39,40).
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